

1 no reason why the legislature cannot by law fix the  
2 jurisdictional amount below which you don't get a jury trial.

3 Now, if this is done, then the integrity of your  
4 lower Court system will be preserved. It will have exclu-  
5 sive jurisdiction and the entire system will work.

6 Now, a possible answer to this might be, well,  
7 let's go ahead and let the lower Courts impanel juries, too,  
8 let the District Courts have juries, and this is, of course,  
9 a possibility. But, I rather think, in smaller matters,  
10 in the tremendous volume of cases that have to be put out,  
11 the impaneling of juries, even if there are many juries,  
12 if you will, is just a time consuming thing which doesn't  
13 further the administration of justice.

14 Now, we've got an area of cases which I think  
15 are more applicable to this argument than the ones Mrs.  
16 Bothe mentioned, in the Workmen's Compensation cases, be-  
17 cause, of course, you do have a jury trial on appeal, but  
18 in the Federal Courts, in the Tucker Act cases, you have  
19 no jury trial. These are Court cases against the govern-  
20 ment. Personal injury cases of all kinds are tried and  
21 tried well and expeditiously, without juries, in the